Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn] •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nam.	09/394,902	STICE ET AL.
	iiai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	wed
(1) <u>Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Sal Arrigo</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 March 2007		Time: <u>10:53 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant 〗No	's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 41,42,44,46,47 and 49-52			
Prior art documents discussed: NOne			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERA	L NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brid 	cation. The e separate rec	xaminer will provide a writte ord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·	
			·
Deboral Crond	D		
	(Applicant/Ap	oplicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to obviate another interference, claims 41,42,44, 46 and 47 would need to be canceled. Applicant agreed. Mr. Arrigo also requested claims 49 and 51 be rewritten, and new claims 53 and 54 be added. This is to be accomplished by examiner's amendment..